

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,845	04/09/2004	Kwan-Hee Lee	1514.1037	8679
⁴⁹⁴⁵⁵ STEIN, MCEW	IINER			
1400 EYE STR		HINES, ANNE M		
	SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			σ_H		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/820,845	LEE, KWAN-HEE		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Anne M. Hines	2879		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SH WHI - Extrafte - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (3) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained palent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	October 2007.			
2a)	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 April 2004</u> is/are:				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
а	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docume Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a least of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage		
Attachma	ont/e)				
Attachme 1) Not	int(s) lice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Not 1	cice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) prmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) per No(s)/Mail Date 10/17/07.	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application		

10/820,845 Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 17, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cho (US 2002/0043928) (of record).

Regarding claim 1, Cho discloses an organic electroluminescent display device comprising a substrate (Figs. 3A-F, 110; Page 2, Paragraph [0024]); a lower electrode formed on the substrate, the lower electrode having a substantially planar upper surface at a first step difference above the substrate (Figs. 3A-F, 120; Page 2, Paragraph [0024]); a pixel define layer formed on the substrate and covering one portion of the

Application/Control Number:

10/820,845 Art Unit: 2879

lower electrode while exposing another portion of the lower electrode, the pixel define layer having an upper surface at a second step difference above the substrate (Figs. 3A-F, 140; Page 2, Paragraph [0024]); an organic thin film layer formed on the organic thin film layer (Figs. 3A-F, 160; Page 2, Paragraph [0024]), wherein the second step difference of the pixel define layer is less than or substantially equal to the first step difference of the lower electrode (Figs. 3A-F, 120 & 140).

Regarding claim 2, Cho further discloses wherein the pixel define layer is a photosensitive resin (Page 2, Paragraph [0024]).

Regarding claims 7 and 9, Cho further discloses wherein the lower electrode comprises a transparent electrode material of ITO (Page 1, Paragraph [0005]; Page 2, Paragraph [0026]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cho (US 2002/0043928) (of record) in view of Arnold et al. (US 7038373).

Regarding claim 8, Cho teaches the invention of claim 7, and wherein the lower electrode of a bottom emitting OLED is a transparent electrode. Cho fails to teach

wherein the lower electrode is a reflective electrode of a material from the group consisting of Al, Al/ITO, Cr, Pt, Au, Ag, Ag/ITO, Al/IZO, Pd, Ni, and an alloy film thereof.

In the same field of endeavor of organic electroluminescent devices, Arnold teaches wherein an OLED is provided as a top emitting with reflective lower electrode or a bottom emitting device with a transparent lower electrode, thus exemplifying recognized equivalent structures of the OLED in the art. Arnold further teaches wherein the reflective lower electrode material is chosen from the group consisting of Au, Pd, or Pt (Column 7, lines 43-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the OLED of Cho as top emitting with a reflective lower electrode of Au, Pd, or Pt instead of as bottom emitting, since the selection of any of these known equivalents would be considered within the level of ordinary skill in the art as evidenced by Arnold's teaching.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claim 3, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 3, and specifically comprising the limitation of a buffer pattern between the lower electrode and the

Application/Control Number:

10/820,845 Art Unit: 2879 Page 5

substrate which has a predetermined thickness sufficient to reduce a difference

between the first and second step differences.

Claims 4-6 are allowable due to their dependency status from claim 3.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Anne M. Hines whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2285. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00-

4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anne M Hines Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2879

MARICELI SANTIAGO PRIMARY EXAMINER